

LOCAL COHOMOLOGY AND $\mathcal{D}$ -AFFINITY IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

MASAKI KASHIWARA AND NIELS LAURITZEN

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field. Consider the polynomial ring

$$R = k \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & X_{13} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & X_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

and $I \subset R$ the ideal generated by the three 2×2 minors

$$f_1 := \begin{vmatrix} X_{12} & X_{13} \\ X_{22} & X_{23} \end{vmatrix}, \quad f_2 := \begin{vmatrix} X_{13} & X_{11} \\ X_{23} & X_{21} \end{vmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad f_3 := \begin{vmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} \end{vmatrix}.$$

If k is a field of positive characteristic, the local cohomology modules $H_I^j(R)$ vanish for $j > 2$ (see Chapitre III, Proposition (4.1) in [2]). However, if k is a field of characteristic zero, $H_I^3(R)$ is non-vanishing (see Proposition 2.1 of this paper or Remark 3.13 in [4]).

Consider the Grassmann variety $X = \text{Gr}(2, V)$ of 2-dimensional vector subspaces of a 5-dimensional vector space V over k . Let us take a two dimensional subspace W of V . Then the singularity R/I appears in the Schubert variety $Y \subset X$ of 2-dimensional subspaces E such that $\dim(E \cap W) \geq 1$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}_Y^3(\mathcal{O}_X)$ does not vanish in characteristic zero while it does vanish in positive characteristic.

In this paper we show how this difference in the vanishing of local cohomology translates into a non-vanishing first cohomology group for the $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module $\mathcal{H}_Y^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$ in positive characteristic.

Previous work of Haastert ([3]) showed the Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence ([1]) to hold for projective spaces and the flag manifold of SL_3 in positive characteristic. However, as we show in this paper, $\mathcal{D}$ -affinity breaks down for the flag manifold of SL_5 in all positive characteristics. The Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence, therefore, does not carry over to flag manifolds in positive characteristic.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 32C38; Secondary: 14B15 .

The research of the first author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 13440006, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The research of the second author was supported in part by the TMR-programme “Algebraic Lie Representations” (ECM Network Contract No. ERB FMRX-CT 97/0100) and the Danish Natural Science Research Council.

2. LOCAL COHOMOLOGY

Keep the notation from §1. A topological proof of the following proposition is given in §5.

Proposition 2.1. $H_I^3(R)$ *does not vanish in characteristic zero.*

Corollary 2.2. $\mathcal{H}_Y^3(\mathcal{O}_X)$ *does not vanish in characteristic zero.*

The local to global spectral sequence

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^q(\mathcal{O}_X)) \Rightarrow H_Y^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

and $\mathcal{D}$ -affinity in characteristic zero ([1]) implies

$$H_Y^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^3(\mathcal{O}_X)) \neq 0.$$

On the other hand, if k is a field of positive characteristic, $\mathcal{H}_Y^q(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ if $q \neq 2$, since Y is a codimension two Cohen Macaulay subvariety of the smooth variety X ([2], Chapitre III, Proposition (4.1)). This gives a totally different degeneration of the local to global spectral sequence. In the positive characteristic case we get

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^2(\mathcal{O}_X)) \cong H_Y^{p+2}(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

We will prove that $H_Y^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$ even if k is a field of positive characteristic. This will give the desired non-vanishing

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{H}_Y^2(\mathcal{O}_X)) \neq 0$$

in positive characteristic.

3. LIFTING TO $\mathbb{Z}$

To deduce the non-vanishing of $H_Y^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ in positive characteristic, we need to compute the local cohomology over $\mathbb{Z}$ and proceed by base change. Flag manifolds and their Schubert varieties admit flat lifts to $\mathbb{Z}$ -schemes. In this section $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$ will denote flat lifts of a flag manifold X and a Schubert variety $Y \subset X$ respectively.

The local Grothendieck-Cousin complex (cf. [5], §8) of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_{X_0/X_1}^0(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{X_1/X_2}^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where X_i denotes the union of Schubert schemes of codimension i , is a resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$, since $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ is Cohen Macaulay, $\text{codim } X_i \geq i$ and $X_i \setminus X_{i+1} \rightarrow X$ are affine morphisms for all i (see [5], Theorem 10.9). The sheaves in this resolution decompose into direct sums

$$\mathcal{H}_{X_i/X_{i+1}}^i(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) = \bigoplus_{\text{codim}(C)=i} \mathcal{H}_C^i(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$$

of local cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}_C^i(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ with support in Bruhat cells C of codimension i . The degeneration of the local to global spectral sequence gives

$$H_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^p(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{H}_C^c(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})) = H_{C \cap Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{p+c}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}),$$

since $\mathcal{H}_C^i(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) = 0$ if $i \neq c = \text{codim}(C)$.

Since the scheme $X_i \setminus X_{i+1}$ is affine it follows that $H_C^p(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) = 0$ if $p \neq \text{codim}(C)$ ([5], Theorem 10.9). This shows that the resolution (3.1) is acyclic for the functor $\Gamma_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ and

$$\Gamma_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{H}_C^c(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } C \not\subset Y_{\mathbb{Z}} \\ H_C^c(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) & \text{if } C \subset Y_{\mathbb{Z}}, \end{cases}$$

where $c = \text{codim}(C)$. Applying $\Gamma_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, -)$ to (3.1) we get the complex

$$M_Y^\bullet : H_{C_Y}^c(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\text{codim}(C)=c+1, C \subset Y_{\mathbb{Z}}} H_C^{c+1}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where c is the codimension of $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$, C_Y is the open Bruhat cell in $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $H_{C_Y}^c(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ sits in degree c . Notice that $H^i(M_Y^\bullet) = H_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^i(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ and that $M_Y^\bullet$ is a complex of free abelian groups. In fact the individual entries $H_C^i(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ are direct sums of weight spaces, which are finitely generated free abelian groups (cf. [5], Theorem 13.4). By weight spaces we mean eigenspaces for a fixed $\mathbb{Z}$ -split torus T . The differentials in $M_Y^\bullet$ being T -equivariant, the complex $M_Y^\bullet$ is a direct sum of complexes of finitely generated free abelian groups. Since $H^i(M_Y^\bullet) = H_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^i(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$, one obtains the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Every local cohomology group $H_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^i(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ is a direct sum of finitely generated abelian groups. In the codimension c of $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $H_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^c(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ is a free abelian group.*

4. THE COUNTEREXAMPLE

For a field k , let us set $X_k = X_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes k$ and $Y_k = Y_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes k$. Then one has $H_{Y_k}^q(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) = H_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^q(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}} \otimes k)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}$, one has a spectral sequence

$$\text{Tor}_{-p}^{\mathbb{Z}}(H_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^q(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}), k) \Rightarrow H_{Y_k}^{p+q}(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}).$$

This shows that the natural homomorphism

$$H_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^i(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \otimes k \longrightarrow H_{Y_k}^i(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k})$$

is an injection, and it is an isomorphism if the field k is flat over $\mathbb{Z}$.

In our example (cf. §1), one has

$$H_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^3(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong H_{Y_{\mathbb{C}}}^3(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{C}}}) \neq 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1, the cohomology $H_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^3(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ must contain $\mathbb{Z}$ as a direct summand. Therefore the injection

$$H_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^3(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \otimes k \longrightarrow H_{Y_k}^3(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k})$$

shows that $H_{Y_k}^3(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k})$ is non-vanishing for any field k of positive characteristic. Since $H_{Y_k}^3(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) \cong H^1(X_k, \mathcal{H}_{Y_k}^2(\mathcal{O}_{X_k}))$, one obtains the following result.

Proposition 4.1. $H^1(X_k, \mathcal{H}_{Y_k}^2(\mathcal{O}_{X_k})) \neq 0$ if k is of positive characteristic.

5. PROOF OF NON-VANISHING OF $H_I^3(R)$

In this section, we shall give a topological proof of Proposition 2.1. We may assume that the base field is the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.

The local cohomologies $H_I^*(R)$ are the cohomology groups of the complex

$$\begin{aligned} R &\longrightarrow R[f_1^{-1}] \oplus R[f_2^{-1}] \oplus R[f_3^{-1}] \\ &\longrightarrow R[(f_1 f_2)^{-1}] \oplus R[(f_2 f_3)^{-1}] \oplus R[(f_1 f_3)^{-1}] \longrightarrow R[(f_1 f_2 f_3)^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence one has

$$H_I^3(R) = \frac{R[(f_1 f_2 f_3)^{-1}]}{R[(f_1 f_2)^{-1}] + R[(f_2 f_3)^{-1}] + R[(f_1 f_3)^{-1}]}.$$

In order to prove the non-vanishing of $H_I^3(R)$, it is enough to show

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{1}{f_1 f_2 f_3} \notin R[(f_1 f_2)^{-1}] + R[(f_2 f_3)^{-1}] + R[(f_1 f_3)^{-1}].$$

Consider the 6-cycle

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -t_2 u + t_3 \bar{v} & u & -t_1 \bar{v} \\ -t_2 v - t_3 \bar{u} & v & t_1 \bar{u} \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. ; |t_1| = |t_2| = |t_3| = 1, |u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ k \begin{pmatrix} -t_2 & 1 & 0 \\ -t_3 & 0 & t_1 \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. ; |t_1| = |t_2| = |t_3| = 1, k = \begin{pmatrix} u & -\bar{v} \\ v & \bar{u} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

in $X \setminus (f_1 f_2 f_3)^{-1}(0)$, where $X = \text{Spec}(R) \cong \mathbb{C}^6$. Then on γ one has

$$f_1 = t_1, f_2 = t_1 t_2 \text{ and } f_3 = t_3.$$

Set $\omega = \bigwedge dX_{ij}$. Then one has $\omega = t_1 dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 \theta$ on γ , where θ is a non-zero invariant form on $SU(2)$. Therefore one has

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{\omega}{f_1 f_2 f_3} = \int_{\gamma} \frac{dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 \theta}{t_1 t_2 t_3} \neq 0.$$

Hence, in order to show (5.2), it is enough to prove that

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\gamma} \varphi \omega = 0$$

for any $\varphi \in R[(f_1 f_2)^{-1}] + R[(f_2 f_3)^{-1}] + R[(f_1 f_3)^{-1}]$.

For $\varphi \in R[(f_1 f_2)^{-1}]$, the equation (5.3) holds because we can shrink the cycle γ by $|t_3| = \lambda$ from $\lambda = 1$ to $\lambda = 0$. For $\varphi \in R[(f_1 f_3)^{-1}]$, the equation (5.3) holds because we can shrink the cycle γ by $|t_2| = \lambda$ from $\lambda = 1$ to $\lambda = 0$.

Let us show (5.3) for $\varphi \in R[(f_2 f_3)^{-1}]$. Let us deform the cycle γ by

$$\gamma_\lambda = \left\{ k \begin{pmatrix} -(1-\lambda)t_2 & 1 & -\lambda t_1 t_2 t_3^{-1} \\ -t_3 & 0 & t_1 \end{pmatrix} ; |t_1| = |t_2| = |t_3| = 1, k \in SU(2) \right\}.$$

Note that the values of f_1 , f_2 and f_3 do not change under this deformation. Hence γ_λ is a cycle in $X \setminus (f_1 f_2 f_3)^{-1}(0)$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \left\{ k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -t_1 t_2 t_3^{-1} \\ -t_3 & 0 & t_1 \end{pmatrix} ; |t_1| = |t_2| = |t_3| = 1, k \in SU(2) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -t_2 \\ -t_3 & 0 & t_1 \end{pmatrix} ; |t_1| = |t_2| = |t_3| = 1, k \in SU(2) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last coordinates of γ_1 , one has $f_2 = t_2 t_3$ and $f_3 = t_3$. Hence, for $\varphi \in R[(f_2 f_3)^{-1}]$,

$$\int_\gamma \varphi \omega = \int_{\gamma_1} \varphi \omega$$

vanishes because we can shrink the cycle γ_1 by $|t_1| = \lambda$ from $\lambda = 1$ to $\lambda = 0$.

Remark. Although we do not give a proof here, $H_I^3(R)$ is isomorphic to $H_J^6(R)$ as a D-module. Here J is the defining ideal of the origin.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein, Localisation de $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **292**(1981), 15–18.
- [2] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, Dimension projective finie et cohomologie locale, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **42** (1973), 47–119.
- [3] B. Haastert, Über Differentialoperatoren und $\mathcal{D}$ -Moduln in positiver Charakteristik, *Manus. Math.* **58** (1987), 385–415.
- [4] C. Huneke and G. Lyubeznik, On the vanishing of local cohomology modules, *Invent. math.* **102** (1990), 73–95.
- [5] G. Kempf, The Grothendieck-Cousin complex of an induced representation, *Adv. Math.* **29** (1978), 310–396.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, JAPAN

E-mail address: masaki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

INSTITUT FOR MATEMATISKE FAG, AARHUS UNIVERSITET, ÅRHUS, DENMARK

E-mail address: niels@imf.au.dk